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1. **Introduction**

Initial margin (IM) represents the primary prefunded line of defense for JSE Clear (JSEC) in managing the risks associated with clearing financial instruments. IM is called at an individual account level, and the IM posted against the exposures held in a particular account can only be used to satisfy the losses incurred in liquidating the positions held in the particular account, in the event of default. The aim of this document is to articulate the methodologies used by JSEC when calculating account-level IM requirements.

JSEC’s underlying philosophy with regards to account level-IM requirements is to ensure that:

- IM requirements are reflective of a “defaulter pays” risk waterfall;
- As far as possible, IM requirements should avoid procyclicality by being stable during times of stress; and
- IM should mitigate the risk associated with large and concentrated positions.

To this end, account-level IM is made up of three distinct components:

1. A **base** IM requirement, calculated under the Portfolio VaR framework for interest rate derivatives, and the JSPAN framework for all other derivatives. The base IM requirement represents the account-level IM before taking large and concentrated positions into account. For a portfolio consisting of a single position in a single contract, the base IM requirement should be calculated as follows:

   - **Methodology**: Historical Value-at-Risk
   - **Confidence Level**: 99.7%
   - **Liquidation Period**: At least 2-days
   - **Look-Back Period**: Rolling 750-days plus 250-days stressed

   Table 1: JSEC VaR Methodology.

2. A **liquidation period** IM requirement which adds to the base requirement in order to mitigate the risks associated with positions that will take longer to liquidate than is assumed under the base requirement.

3. A **large exposure** IM requirement which adds to the base and liquidation period requirements in order to address the risk presented by exposures which are large enough to put the JSEC risk waterfall at risk under extreme but plausible market conditions.

The structure of this document is as follows:

- Sections 2 and 3 describe the methodologies underlying the base IM requirement;
- Section 4 describes the methodology underlying the liquidation period IM requirement; and finally
- Section 5 describes the methodology underlying the large exposure IM requirement.
2. JSPAN

Apart from interest rate derivatives futures, the JSPAN algorithm is used to determine account-level base IM requirements for all contracts cleared by JSEC. The formulaic breakdown of the JSPAN algorithm is described in [1]. This section provides a high-level description of the parameters that feed into the algorithm, and describes the calculation methodology for each parameter.

Each contract has four JSPAN parameters associated with it:

- **The Initial Margin Requirement (IMR).** This parameter represents the total IM payable on a portfolio involving a single position in the particular contract, and no other positions.

- **Calendar Spread Margin Requirement (CSMR).** Each futures contract can belong to one and only one Class Spread Group (CSG); a group of futures contracts that share the same underlying instrument. JSPAN then recognizes the risk reducing impact associated with having long and short exposures in different contracts in the same CSG, by reducing the total amount of IM required against the net exposure. In particular, the total amount of IM on a calendar spread position involving two contracts (A and B) in the same CSG, is approximately calculated as follows:

\[
IM = Pos_A \times CSMR_A + Pos_B \times CSMR_B + |Pos_A \times IMR_A - Pos_B \times IMR_B|,
\]

where IMR_A is the IM that would be called for an outright position in contract A, IMR_B is the IM that would be called for an outright position in contract B and Pos_A and Pos_B are the absolute values of the number of positions in contracts A and B respectively.

- **Series Spread Margin Requirement (SSMR).** Highly correlated CSGs can be grouped together in Series Spread Groups (SSGS); however, each CSG can belong to one and only one SSG. JSPAN then recognizes the risk reducing impact associated with having long and short exposures in different CSGs within the same SSG; by reducing the total amount of IM required against the net exposure. In particular, the total amount of IM on a series spread position involving two contracts (A and B) in the different CSGs within the same SSG, is approximately calculated as follows:

\[
IM = Pos_A \times SSMR_A + Pos_B \times SSMR_B + |Pos_A \times IMR_A - Pos_B \times IMR_B|.
\]

- **Volatility Scanning Range (VSR).** This parameter is used to determine the extent to which At-the-Money volatilities should be shocked when calculating the risk arrays for options on the particular future. A risk array is an array of contract-level Profit and Losses (PnLs) under various futures price/volatility permutations. The
2.1 IMR Methodology

In light of the fact that the IMR for a particular future represents the total IM payable on a portfolio involving a single position in the particular contract, and no other positions, contract-level IMRs are to be calculated as per Table 1. Currently, the stressed periods to be added into the look-back periods for the various asset classes are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Stressed Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equities</td>
<td>FTSE/JSE Top40 Index</td>
<td>1-Jun-2008 to 1-Jun-2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income</td>
<td>GOVI Index</td>
<td>1-Jun-2008 to 1-Jun-2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>White Maize</td>
<td>1-Sep-2008 to 1-Sep-2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FX</td>
<td>USDZAR</td>
<td>1-Jun-2008 to 1-Jun-2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals</td>
<td>Gold (USD)</td>
<td>1-Jun-2008 to 1-Jun-2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Asset class stressed periods.

The assumptions underlying JSE Clear’s current 2-day liquidation period are that:

- The maximum period that may elapse from the last collection of margins up to the declaration of a clearing member default is equal to 1-day; and
- The estimated period needed to design and execute a strategy for the management of the default is no more than 1-day.

2.2 CSMR Methodology

There are three main factors that can affect the Mark-to-Market (MtM) value of a calendar spread position:

- The cost of carry associated with the long futures position;
- The cost of carry associated with the short futures position; and
- The value of the underlying instrument.

An algorithm for determining CSMR values should thus consider the extent to which changes in the above-mentioned factors can affect the MtM value of a spread position.

For agricultural derivatives, the set of factors that can affect the MtM value of spread positions are generally more intricate than described above. In particular, factors such as expected future weather patterns, and differences between
new and old harvesting seasons can have a significant impact on the value of spread positions. As such, the algorithm which is described below is used for all asset classes apart from agriculture derivatives. The methodology to be applied for agricultural derivatives is discussed alongside the calibration of series spread margin parameters.
The following algorithm is used to calibrate the CSMR parameters associated with a particular CSG:

1. Determine the number of contracts in the CSG, \( n \);
2. Determine the contract with the least amount of time to maturity, contract \( A \);
3. Set \( i = 1 \);
4. Determine the \( i^{th} \) contract to expire after \( A \), contract \( B \);
5. Determine the current MtM value of a calendar spread position involving contracts \( A \) and \( B \);
6. Calculate the absolute change in the abovementioned MtM under each of the following scenarios (carry factor, \( \Lambda \), are shown in Table 3):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Underlying</th>
<th>Cost of Carry A</th>
<th>Cost of Carry B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Up by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Up by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Up by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Up by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Up by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Up by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Up by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Up by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Up by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Down by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Down by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Down by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Down by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Down by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Down by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Down by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Up by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Down by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_B )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Down by ( \max(IMR_A, IMR_B) )</td>
<td>Down by ( \Lambda_A )</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Calculate the maximum of the absolute changes calculated in step 6, and let $\psi$ denote this quantity;

8. If $i = 1$, set the CSMR for both $A$ and $B$ to $\psi/2$, else set the CSMR for $B$ equal to $\psi - CSMR_A$;

9. Repeat steps 3 to 8 for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n - 1$.

The carry factors are defined in terms of continuously compounded yields, and are set at an asset class level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset Class</th>
<th>Tenor ≤ 2 Years</th>
<th>Tenor &gt; 2 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equity Index</td>
<td>150 Bps</td>
<td>250 Bps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Name Equity</td>
<td>350 Bps</td>
<td>450 Bps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Income</td>
<td>100 Bps</td>
<td>200 Bps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FX</td>
<td>150 Bps</td>
<td>250 Bps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodities</td>
<td>150 Bps</td>
<td>250 Bps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Asset class carry factors.

The cost of carry factor for a particular asset class is determined by considering the performance of benchmark spread positions over a specific look-back period. In particular, the same look-back period used to quantify IMR values for the particular asset class are used to quantify cost of carry factors. Cost of carry factors are reviewed annually.

### 2.3 SSMR Methodology

Under JSPAN, the maximum offset for a particular series spread is obtained when:

$$POS_B = POS_{A,B}^{optimal} = \frac{IMR_A}{IMR_B}$$

An incremental increase in $POS_B$ beyond $POS_{A,B}^{optimal}$ is margined at an outright basis. When calculating SSMRs, careful consideration should be given to the maximum obtainable offset, in order to ensure that JSPAN never understates the risk associated with a particular series spread position.

The following algorithm is used to calibrate SSMR parameters:

1. For each SSG construct a matrix where element $(i, j)$ represents the portfolio-level Value-at-Risk (calculated by extending the contract-level IMR framework to a portfolio-level framework) associated with a long position in contract $i$ and $POS_{i,j}^{optimal}$ short positions in contract $j$, where $i, j = 1, 2, \ldots n$, and $n$ is the number of CSGs in the SSG.

2. Guess a value for all SSMRs in the SSG (use 30% of the IMR as an initial guess).
3. Construct a matrix where element \((i,j)\) represents the portfolio-level J-SPAN requirement associated with a long position in contract \(i\), and \(P_{\text{A}i,j}^{\text{Optimal}}\) short positions in contracts \(j\).

4. Determine the difference (matrix \(\Delta\)) between the matrixes calculated in steps (3) and (1).

5. Determine the smallest possible values for all applicable SSMRs, such that none of the elements of \(\Delta\) are less than zero.

The same methodology as that described above is used to calibrate the CSMR parameters for the agricultural derivatives market. In particular, each CSG in this market is interpreted as an SSG (for the purpose of calibrating CSMRs only), comprised of the following:

- A generic spot month contract;
- A generic spot vs. near hedge month contract; and
- A generic near hedge vs. next hedge contract.

In general, a higher CSMR value will be attributed to the spot month contract to reflect the higher level of risk typically associated with spot vs. non-spot calendar spreads.

2.4 Calibration Frequency

It should be noted that IMR, CSMR, and SSMR values are loaded into the clearing system in the settlement currency of the particular futures contract, and not as a percentage of the notional value of the contract. In order to minimize the operational risk faced by JSEC, and to in an effort keep portfolio-level IM requirements as stable and transparent as possible; IMR, CSMR, and SSMR values are recalibrated on a scheduled fortnightly basis (instead of daily). JSEC can, however, perform ad-hoc JSPAN parameter recalculations should market circumstances warrant such a recalculation.

3. Portfolio VaR for Interest Rate Derivatives

The simplistic nature of the JSPAN framework often implies a lack of IM offset between highly correlated contracts. This is particularly problematic in the interest rate derivatives market, where portfolios often comprise of long and short exposures across the entire curve. To this end, the JSE makes use of an alternative framework for the purpose of calculating account-level base IM requirements for accounts in this market.
Calculation Overview

The formulaic breakdown of the VaR methodology is described in [2]. This section provides a high-level description of the calculation methodology. An overview of the account level calculation is as follows:

1. Calculate the Value-at-Risk (VaR) for a particular account using the following parameters:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VaR Methodology</th>
<th>Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Liquidation Period</th>
<th>Look-Back Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historical VaR</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
<td>2-days</td>
<td>Rolling 750-day plus stressed 250-day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Calculate the cost, as it relates to the number of basis points away from mid-market rates, that would be incurred when liquidating all positions within the particular account;

3. Calculate the profit and loss for the particular account under a series of what-if scenarios, designed to estimate the extent to which the account could incur losses if historically observed correlation patterns break down; and finally

4. The account level IM is estimated as the smallest (most negative) of the following:
   a. The sum of the VaR and liquidation cost calculated in steps 1 and 2 above; and
   b. The smallest (most negative) loss calculated under the set of what-if scenarios calculated in step 3.

3.1 Calibration Frequency

The contract level parameters (vectors) which are used to calibrate the VaR estimates, are only updated on a scheduled weekly basis instead of daily; in an effort the keep portfolio-level IM requirements as stable and transparent as possible. JSEC can, however, perform ad-hoc parameter recalculations should market circumstances warrant such a recalculation.

4. Liquidation Period Margin

A key component of an IM methodology is its ability to incorporate the costs associated with liquidating a defaulting portfolio. To this end, JSECs account-level IM methodology applies a more punitive IM requirement (in relative terms) for large positions than for small positions; in order to acknowledge the higher liquidation costs typically associated with large positions. This higher IM requirement is achieved by adding the so called liquidation period margin to the base account-level IM requirement. The calculation of liquidation period margin for interest rate derivatives is different to calculation for all other contracts.

4.1 General Calculation Methodology

Assume that the IMR for a particular future is calculated using an \( \alpha \)% confidence level and an \( n \)-day liquidation period, and let \( \text{VaR}_{\alpha,n} \) denote the effective VaR percentage associated with a particular IMR.
Let \( \Gamma \) denote the 90-day adjusted average daily value traded\(^1\) in the underlying to the abovementioned futures contract. The maximum participation in the said underlying on any given day is \( M \), where:

\[
M = \frac{\Gamma}{3}
\]

Let \( \Pi \) denote the size (in terms of delta-adjusted net notional) of an arbitrary position in the abovementioned underlying. The position-level liquidation period represents the number of days it will take to liquidate the particular position. The liquidation period \( \nu \), is calculated as:

\[
\nu = \min(x \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}; \, \Pi - xM \leq 0).
\]

The liquidation period margin relating to \( \Pi \) is then calculated as follows:

\[
IM_{\text{conc}} = \begin{cases} 
M \times VaR_{\alpha,1}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3} + \cdots + \sqrt{\nu}) + (\Pi - [\nu - 1]M)VaR_{\alpha,1} \times \sqrt{\nu + 1} - \Pi VaR_{\alpha,n,\nu}, & \nu > n - 1 \\
0, & \nu \leq n - 1
\end{cases}
\]

The total account-level liquidation period margin requirement is then derived by aggregating the position-level liquidation period margin across all underlying instruments.

### 4.2 Interest Rate Derivatives Calculation Methodology

The following algorithm is applied to each account containing interest rate derivatives futures:

- After completion of the portfolio VaR IM calculation, determine the change in the MtM value of each account associated with:
  - Changing the MtM value of the first input to the yield curve up by one basis point, and reconstructing the entire yield curve whilst leaving all other inputs unchanged.
  - Repeating the above for each input to the curve recursively.
- The above step creates a so-called PV01 ladder for each account. The \( i^{\text{th}} \) “step” of the PV01 ladder for a particular account represents the change in the MtM of the account associated with a one basis point change in the value of the \( i^{\text{th}} \) input to the curve.
- Each “step” of each PV01 ladder is then multiplied by the corresponding element in so-called hedge cost matrix, in order to determine the liquidation period margin due to each input to the curve. Element \((i, j)\) of the hedge

---

\(^1\)Adjusted average daily value traded is the average of the last 90 days value traded excluding the 9 (10%) days with the largest value traded. This avoids the average value being skewed by infrequent large trades which cannot be depended upon when liquidating a position.
cost matrix represents the anticipated basis point cost associated with executing a trade with a PV01 of \( j \), in the \( i \)th curve input. The elements of the hedge cost matrix are determined through market consultation, and updated on a monthly basis, or more regularly if market conditions warrant so.

- Finally, the account-level liquidation period margin is determined by adding the account-level liquidation margin per curve input.

4.3 Calibration Frequency

In order to minimize the operational risk faced by JSEC, and to in an effort the keep portfolio-level IM requirements as stable and transparent as possible, all liquidation period margin parameters are only updated on a scheduled fortnightly basis instead of daily. JSEC can, however, perform ad-hoc parameter recalculations should market circumstances warrant such a recalculation.

5. Large Exposure Margin

Under JSE Clear’s stress testing framework, the stressed exposure at default (sEAD) for a particular client under a particular stress scenario is calculated by:

1. Calculating the Mark-to-Market (MtM) of each contract cleared by JSE Clear on day T+0;
2. Using the contract-level MtM values to calculate the MtM value of the particular client account on day T+0;
3. Calculating the stressed Mark-to-Market (sMtM) value of each contract under the particular scenario, for valuation date \( T+n \), where \( n \) denotes the liquidation period specified in Table 1 (generic asset-class price changes are applied, and options are revalued under the stressed futures price and volatility scenario);
4. Using the sMtM values calculated in step 3 to calculate the associated stressed profit and loss (sPnL) for each contract under each scenario (associated with having a long position in each contract);
5. Using the above contract-level sPnL values to calculate the stressed variation margin (sVM) associated with the change in the MtM value of the client portfolio (from MtM T+0 to sMtM T+ \( n \)); and finally
6. Calculating the stressed exposure at default (sEAD) as the smaller of zero and difference between the total amount of IM held (base IM and liquidation period IM) against the exposure and sVM per account (client account or trading member’s proprietary account).

The large exposure margin is then calculated as the greater of zero and the absolute value the smallest (largest negative) sEAD across all of JSE Clear’s historic stress testing scenarios for that account, less R225m. However, if the abovementioned sum is greater than zero, no large exposure margin will be applied.

5.1 Calibration Frequency
In order to minimize the operational risk faced by JSEC, and to in an effort the keep portfolio-level IM requirements as stable and transparent as possible, contract-level sPnL vectors are only updated on a scheduled fortnightly basis instead of daily. JSEC can, however, perform ad-hoc PNL vector recalculation should market circumstances warrant such a recalculation. The sPnL vectors for new contracts (loaded after the most recent recalibration) are set to zero until the next scheduled recalibration.
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